

ORIGINAL
Katherine Nutt



0000109376

E-01575A-08-0328
& E-01575A-09-0453

From:
Sent:
To:
Subject:

Cristina Arzaga-williams on behalf of Kennedy-Web
Thursday, March 25, 2010 1:46 PM
'sksandra@aol.com'; Katherine Nutt
FW: Docket # E-01575A-09-0453

RECEIVED

2010 MAR 26 P 3: 57

please docket


AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

From: peter schofield [mailto:pete.schofield@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, March 24, 2010 12:00 PM
To: Utilities Div - Mailbox; Mayes-WebEmail; Pierce-Web; Kennedy-Web; Stump-Web
Subject: Docket # E-01575A-09-0453

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED

MAR 26 2010

In my opinion:



SSVEC has tried to stack the deck. They were asked to hire an "independent group" to study the Sonoita 64k power line and in-fact they hired a multi-national consulting firm whose stated purpose is to: "provide customized services and support to address critical business issues and enhance stakeholder value." I was under the impression that issues such as impact on the environment and quality of life were to be studied and in addition to see if the utility had complied with the spirit of the laws on environmental impact. What has been done is not unlike what in part caused the current financial melt down in this country; when brokerage firms were allowed to rate companies listed on the various stock exchanges and then trade for their own accounts in those stocks. Sort of like the fox watching the hen house! What I believe should have been done, was to ask the utility to seek approval for their choice of consulting firm from those aggrieved or the Arizona Corporation Commission. In cases of eminent domane, one side doesn't get to set the price or choose the apraiser.

I hope that you will ask SSVEC to further scrutinize their position before allowing the start of the project.

Pete Schofield

520 604 2043 begin_of_the_skype_highlighting
2043 end_of_the_skype_highlighting

520 604

11 Rattlesnake Ct.

Sonoita, AZ 85637